



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/824,465 | 04/15/2004 | Svante Paabo | 101614-00021 | 7172 |

4372 7590 06/28/2006

ARENT FOX PLLC
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

WILDER, CYNTHIA B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1637

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/824,465

Applicant(s)

PAABO ET AL.

Examiner

Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/15/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's preliminary amendment filed on 4/15/2004 is acknowledged. Claims 1-24 have been canceled. Claim 25-29 have been added and are pending.

Sequence Listing

2. The application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990. This applicant does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c) for the sequences listed as SEQ ID NO: 7, SEQ ID NO: 8, SEQ IDNO: 9 and SEQ ID NO: 10 as depicted in the Figures 3 and 6. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) for SEQ ID NOS: 7- 10. Specifically, the amendment to the specification comprising SEQ ID NOS: 7-10, which are represented by sequences in Figures 3 and 6, are not recited in the paper copy of the sequence listing or computer readable format as originally filed. Since these sequences were presented in the drawings as originally filed, they do not constitute any new matter. However, Applicant must provide a substitute computer readable form copy of the "Sequence Listing" comprising SEQ ID NOS: 1-10, a substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new mater, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821 (e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 First Paragraph

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The term “substantially natural relative abundance” does not appear to have clear literal support in the specification. While explicit support is not always a requirement, the language of the term appears to draw to subject matter not discussed in the specification (see also 112 second paragraph). Support appears lacking for “substantial relative abundance” of the selected region.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 Second Paragraph

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a) The term “substantially natural relative abundance” is indefinite. It is unclear by what criteria the term is measure. Nor is it clear as to what degree the natural relative abundance would be “substantial”.

Art Unit: 1637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

6. Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leushner et al (US5,830,657 Nov. 3 , 1998). Regarding claims 25-29, Leushner et al teach the method of sequencing a selected region of target nucleic acid polymer in a sample containing the selected region in substantially natural relative abundance comprising (a) combining the sample containing the target region with first and second primers, a nucleotide triphosphate feedstock mixture, a chain terminating nucleotide triphosphate and a thermally stable polymerase enzyme which incorporates dideoxynucleotides into extending nucleic acid polymer at a rate no less than 0.4 times the rate of incorporation of deoxynucleotides in an amplification mixture to form a reaction mixture said first and second primers binding to sense and antisense strands, respectively, of the target nucleic acid polymer at locations flanking selected region, (b) exposing the reaction mixture to a plurality of temperature cycles which includes at least a high temperature denaturation phase and a lower temperature extension phase, thereby producing a plurality of terminated fragments; and (c) evaluating terminated fragments

Art Unit: 1637

produced during the additional; cycles to determine the sequence of the selected regions, wherein at least one of the first and second primers is labeled with a fluorescent label (see Lueshner claim 1). They further teach THERMOSEQUENASE (see claim 2). They teach the first and second primers are labeled with different labels (see claim 3). They teach mole ratio of 1:100 and 1:300 (see claim 5). They teach that one primer is labeled and the other is not (see col. 7 line 24-26).

Applicant has essentially copied the claims in the Leushner et al. patent and appears applicant desires an interference.

In responding to this rejection, applicant is directed to **M.P.E.P. 2308, 2308.01, and 2308.02, and to 37 CFR 1.608(b).**

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 25-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 1637

6,107,032. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but an examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F. 2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (fed. Cir. 1985). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 25-29 are drawn to a method of sequencing region of target nucleic acid by combining sample with first and second primers, a nucleotide feedstock triphosphate mix, a chain terminating nucleotide triphosphate and a thermally stable polymerase which incorporates dideoxynucleotides at rate no less than 0.4 times rate of incorporation of deoxynucleotides, exposing reaction mix to plurality temperature cycles, and evaluating terminated fragments.

Claims 1-27 of US Patent No. 6,107,032 are drawn to method of simultaneous sequencing and amplifying a portion of a DNA molecule comprising subjecting mix to thermocycling wherein mixture comprises first and second primers, reaction buffer, deoxynucleotides, at least one dideoxynucleotides, a thermostable DNA polymerase having reduced discrimination against incorporation of dideoxynucleotides and at least one polymerase inhibiting antibody.

Claims 1-27 of US 6,107,032 represent a species of the genus of the sequencing method that are drawn in claims 25-29 of the instant application. Claims 25-29 are therefore obvious to claims 1-27 because the species render the genus obvious.

Art Unit: 1637

Conclusion

9. No claims are allowed. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0791. The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be emailed to cynthia.wilder@uspto.gov. Since email communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such request be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Cynthia Wilder
CYNTHIA WILDER
PATENT EXAMINER
6/24/06